Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)

R3PGRsws Shortgrass Prairie with Shrubs

narunsws	Shortgrass France with Shrub	5				
General Information						
Contributors (addition	onal contributors may be listed under "Mo	odel Evolution and Comments	")			
<u>Modelers</u>		<u>Reviewers</u>				
Galen Green	galen_green@fws.gov	William L. Baker	kerwl@uwyo.edu			
Wayne Robbie	wrobbie@fs.fed.us					
Anne Bradley	abradley@tnc.org					
Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	ntModel Zones				
Grassland	✓ Literature	California	Pacific Northwest			
	✓ Local Data	Great Basin	South Central			
Dominant Species*	✓ Expert Estimate	☐ Great Lakes	Southeast			
Gusa Chna2 Bogr2 Buda	LANDFIRE Mapping Zon 14 24 28 15 25 23 27	Northeast ☐ Northern Plains ☐ N-Cent.Rockie				

Geographic Range

Occurs in the southern Great Plains from southeastern Colorado east through Kansas and south through western Oklahoma, eastern New Mexico and west Texas.

Biophysical Site Description

This type typically occurs on plains and draws, or on gently rolling uplands of the southern Great Plains. In New Mexico, Colorado, elevations range from 5,000-6,800 ft. Precipitation ranges from 12 to 14 inches, and occurs predominantly during the summer.

Vegetation Description

Vegetation is short grass dominated with mid grass inclusions. little bluestem, blue gramma, buffalo grass, needle-and-thread, and three-awns, with intermingled forbs and scattered patches of shrubs, such as four-wing saltbush, broom snakeweed rubber rabbitbrush, several prickly pear species (Opuntia), with isolated pockets of sand sage, and winterfat occurs on calcareous soil. Western wheatgrass occurs in swales.

Disturbance Description

Fire regime dominated by frequent replacement fires associated with productive grass fuels and cycles of moisture and drought. Patchy fires (causing 25-75% top-kill) were less frequent and were modeled here as mixed severity, although there is some debate about how often this type of patchy fire might actually occur.

Drought can cause a transition from closed to open conditions (class B to class C). Return interval for fire could be extended by ungulate grazing, but is not modeled here. Concentrations of ungulates could increase the percent of the landscape dominated by shrubs and forbs compared with reference conditions. Episodic disturbance caused by insect infestation (grasshoppers, range caterpillars, mormon crickets) is also not modeled here.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Higher elevation sites of this type borders the juniper steppe type.

Scale Description	Sources of Scale Data	Literature	Local Data	Expert Estimate

Issues/Problems

Model Evolution and Comments

This model is based on the original FRCC model PGRA6, but adjusted to conform to Rapid Assessment modeling rules. Results changed slightly for classes B, C, and D (class B was 20%, C was 75%, and D was 5%).

Peer review suggested that that all plains grassland types be combined (R3PGm, R3PGmst, R3PGRs, R3PGRsws, R3PGRswt), mixed fire eliminated, and replacement fire interval set at 20 years. Because the workshop participants identified these separate types, they were not lumped together and fire regimes were left as-is, although descriptions were expanded to clarify use of mixed severity fire.

		Succession Cl	asses**			
Succession	classes are the equivalent of "	•	efined in the In	nteragency FRCC Guide	book (www.frcc.gov)	
Class A	5%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Early1 PostRep Description Dominated by resprouts and seedlings of grasses and post-fire associated forbs. Low to medium height with variable canopy cover. This type typically occurs where fires burn relatively hot in classes B and C where grazing has been		Bogr2	Min		Max	
			Cover	0 %	5 %	
			Height	no data	no data	
			Tree Size C	Class no data		
		Shrub	 Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: 			
Class B	lass B 25 % Dominant Species* and Canopy Position			Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)		
Mid1 Close	ed	Bogr2		Min	Max	
Description Greater than 35 percent herb cover. Generally associated with more productive soils, but can be caused by cumulative high moisture seasons increasing the cover and productivity of class C. Low to medium height.		Buda	Cover	30 %	65 %	
			Height	no data	no data	
			Tree Size C	Class no data		
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifer Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			

Class C 60%		Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Mid1 Open		Bogr2 Buda	Min		Max	
			Cover	20 %	75 %	
<u>Description</u>	. 1 1	Gusa	Height	no data	no data	
Less than 35 percent herb cover. Generally associated with less productive cobbly and gravelly soils, but can also be caused by cumulative drought shifting class B to this class.		Gusa	Tree Size Class no data			
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
Class D	10%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Late1 Closed		Bogr2		Min	Max	
Description	•	Buda	Cover	5 %	15 %	
	shrub cover of		Height	no data	no data	
-			Tree Size	Class no data		
medium height. Typically located on the ridges, rocky areas, or on more cobbly or gravelly soil where patches may be missed by fire.		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
Class E	0%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Late1 Closed		Canopy Fosition		Min	Max	
<u>Description</u>	•		Cover	%	%	
	<u>.</u>		Height	no data	no data	
			Tree Size	Class no data		
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data		ayer lifeform differs froi and cover of dominant		

Disturbances

Disturbances Modeled Fire Regime Group: 2 **✓** Fire I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity ☐ Insects/Disease III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity ✓ Wind/Weather/Stress IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity Native Grazing V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity Competition Other: Fire Intervals (FI) Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of Other fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and **Historical Fire Size (acres)** maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Avg: no data Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Min: no data estimates and not precise. Max: no data Min FI Max FI Probability Percent of All Fires Avg FI Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 15 2 35 0.06667 80 **✓** Literature Mixed 60 0.01667 20 **✓** Local Data Surface **✓** Expert Estimate All Fires 12 0.08334

References

Dick-Peddie, W.A. 1993. New Mexico vegetation, past, present and future. Albuquerque, NM: Univ. New Mexico Press. Xxxii, 244 p.

Ford, P. L. 1999. Response of buffalograss (Buchloe dactyloides) and blue grama (Bouteloua gracilis) to fire. Great Plains Research 9:261-276.

Miller, Greg et al. (1993) Terrestrial Ecosystem Survey of the Santa Fe National Forest USDA Forest Service Southwestern Region